

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,081	10/12/2004	Roger Hanif Armour		9597
7590 02/23/2007 Fildes & Outland Suite 2 20916 Mack Avenue			EXAMINER	
			TRA, TUYEN Q	
	Woods, MI 48236		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2873	
	,			
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MC	NTHS	02/23/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/511,081	ARMOUR, ROGER HANIF	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tuyen Q. Tra	2873	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	PATE OF THIS COMMUN 136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 S	September 2006.	•	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under i	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I). 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
 4) Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,5 and 7-10 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 11-14 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☒ accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10. ☐ The oath of the oath or declaration	epted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	·		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Its have been received in A Irity documents have been It (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	•		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

DETAILED ACTION

Oath/Declaration

1. The declaration filed 10/12/2004 is acceptable.

Drawings

2. The drawings in this application are objected to by the examiner because hand written character of lines, numbers, and letters not uniformly thick and well defined, clean, durable and black (poor line quality).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-5, 7, 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA).
- a) With respect to claim 1, AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) arranged between the

W

first light path (item a) and second window to reduce, any light exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (Paragraph 0029-0034).

- b) With respect to claim 2, AAPA further discloses wherein the first light path (a) defining means comprises a tube (figure 3, item 2).
- c) With respect to claims 3 and 4, AAPA further discloses wherein outer suface tube is opaque and inside surface tube is non-reflective.
- d) With respect to claim 5, AAPA further discloses wherein reflective means comprises a mirror (item 3).
- e) With respect to claim 7, AAPA further discloses wherein wherein said reflective means is inclined at approximately 45° to the first light path (item a).
- f) With respect to claims 9 and 10, AAPA further discloses wherein wherein the first window is an aperture and wherein the second window is an aperture.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which the subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA), as applied to claim 5 above, in view of Epitropoulos (US Patent 6,783,239 B2).

Application/Control Number: 10/511,081

Art Unit: 2873

AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) arranged between the first light path (item a) and second window to reduce, any light exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (Paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not disclose wherein the mirror is semicircular. Within the same field of endeavor, Epitropoulos discloses tester device with teaching of a reflector having semicircular shape (column 3, lines 29-30). It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the ophthalmic device with the reflective means such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a semicircular reflective shape such as discloses by Lo, for purpose of reflecting.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant Admit Prior Art (AAPA), as applied to claim 1 above, in view of Lo (US Pub. 2004/0012759 A1).

AAPA discloses means defining a first light path (Figure 3, item a); reflective means (figure 3, item 3) arranged to divert light from the first light path (item a) along a second light path (figure 3, item b) extending through a first window and into a subject eye (figure 3, item 10); a second window through which an user can view a subject eye along a path (figure 3, item c) extending generally parallel to the second light path (item

b); and a baffle (figure 3, item 6) arranged between the first light path (item a) and second window to reduce, any light exiting the ophthalmoscope through the second window (Paragraph 0029-0034). However, AAPA does not disclose wherein the reflective means is a prism. Within the same field of endeavor, Lo discloses ophthalmic device with teaching of a reflective means is a prismatic shaped reflector (figure 12, item 16).

It would have been obvious, therefore, at the time the invention was made to a person having skill in the art to construct the ophthalmic device with the reflective means such as disclosed by AAPA, and with a prism reflective means such as discloses by Lo, for purpose of reflecting.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 11-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The reason for the indication of allowable subject matter is that (claim 11) wherein said reflective means is mounted on the baffle; (claim 12) wherein the baffle comprises a flange; (claim 13) wherein the baffle comprises a block; (claim 14) wherein, in use, the baffle ensures that substantially all light passing along the first light path (a) is diverted along the second light path (b), with no or substantially no light exiting the second window disclosed in the claims is not found in the prior art.

Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyen Q. Tra whose telephone number is 571-272-2343. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ricky L. Mack can be reached on 571-272-2333. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TT

February 16, 2007

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER